

**ALAN WATT**  
**"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"**  
**Live on RBN (#212)**

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 10, 2008:

**Part (A) Paedophiles, (B) Scientists Repentant:**

**"Sounding Innocuous, Yet Not What It Seems,  
CAFed is the Road to Paedophiles' Dreams,  
With Total Access to the Thoughts of a Child,  
Predatory Excitement, Sadism Runs Wild,  
Scientists by Hundreds Leave the IPCC,  
Since Warming has Died, Cooling's To Be,  
Gore's Green Religion, All Doom, Fanatical,  
Must Retaliate Now or Take a Sabbatical,  
Wolf-Terror! Wolf-Terror! Worn rather Thin,  
Without Phantoms, How will Upgrade of Tyranny Begin?  
Could Throw Out Pandemics, Maintain Domination,  
Curfews, Containment, Mass Inoculation,  
One Thing for Sure, They Won't Just Disband,  
Those Masters of Sheeple Want All the World's Land"**

© Alan Watt Dec. 10, 2008

**Wednesday 10th December 2008**

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 10, 2008 (Exempting  
Music, Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

[www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

[www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

**"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen**

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak  
There's a wall between us and a river so deep  
And we keep pretending that there's nothing wrong  
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on the 10th of December 2008. I always suggest that newcomers look in to [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and on the website, you'll find hundreds and hundreds of hours of talks I've given in the past, which cover a vast array of history and I go into the system in which we live. The one that's behind the scenes, the one that's behind the media projections, behind the indoctrinations you get at school. I show you that people actually plan the future for us all to live in; and, just as we're living in the present times of planned change, the changes were planned a long time ago, before we were even born. This is an agenda we live through, an agenda by big Foundations, which front for very wealthy people; wealthy old, old families, of Europe primarily and the United States and Canada, and, they run the world, Soviet style, through funding Non-Governmental

Organisations. These same Foundations came up with the public-private enterprises; which, again, is a form of the amalgamation of the Soviet System and Capitalism. The type that Lenin talked about would happen, around this era too, he was right on with it. He said towards the end of the Millennium, he said that Communism shall fade away, because it will merge with the West and out of it will come a new system. This is the real meaning of Socialism. You have a dominant minority of elitists on top, very wealthy, running those beneath them with massive bureaucracies and authoritarian type systems, running all the masses beneath them, in a communistic fashion. That's the world in which we live.

Also look into [www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu) where you can get, or you can download transcripts of the talks I've given and they're written in the various languages of Europe. You have to understand the history, to make sense of what is happening today; otherwise you'll never ever break through the Matrix, as I call it. You'll never break through, if you don't understand the histories behind this and history is something they always bury. In every generation they rewrite it; and, when they rewrite it, a whole chunk of it is chopped off, never to be recovered by the general public. I advise people to look into old bookstores, even junk stores; I've found some really good books, little treasures, old ones in junk stores, going for a dollar or so. Without this information, you won't make sense of the big events that are happening in your lifetime. You'll put it down to left wing / right wing and so on; and, that's the dialectic process you get stuck in. You also cannot go after the multitude of books put out there to make you blame this group or that group, or some other group, the favourite groups, the handful of favourite groups, because those who publish those books are well-funded to do so as well - to keep everyone fighting everyone else - that's the technique, divide and conquer. When you're all divided, a master must come along and rule you; and, that's what government does. They say 'see, you can't get along, we need more laws' and they put them on the books. That's the technique of control, it's a scientific technique; the kind that Bertrand Russell, and others of his own ilk, talked about introducing. And I'm going to go into some of this that's been happening, to do with children from last night's talk, I'm going to continue it and go deeper, after the following break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, Alan Watt back, Cutting Through The Matrix. Last night, I talked about something called CAF in the title of an article I read from the [dailymail.co.uk](http://dailymail.co.uk) By Eileen Fairweather was **Has Your Child Been CAFed?** It goes into, it's called the Common Assessment Framework and this came out from the European Union, as a matter of fact, this whole idea, it's to get used throughout Europe eventually; but, in Britain, they've reinterpreted it, apparently, to give the social workers and cops and everyone else that has government approval and authority, the right to go and interrogate children, very-very young children and ask intimate questions about their sexual preferences and their sexual life and what's their family like at home and are their parents basically politically-correct. Are their parents - do they have any prejudices and stuff like this; and, I never read the whole article. I stopped when I likened the woman who got the job to Ewen Cameron who was the guy, the pervert, who was basically promoted up to the United Nations, to be in charge of mental health for children world-wide. You better understand what we're up against here: this is a big-big organisation, very-very old and I'm not talking about just the United Nations, I'm talking about the mentality and the organisation behind it all and where they want to go. I went into John Dewey as well; I

mentioned Lord Bertrand Russell, who had his experimental schools, back in the '20s, by Royal Commission. He was allowed to do things in that school where people would have been going down deep crevasses otherwise in the local communities if that had been happening and he was encouraging the children to have pre-pubertal sex. The idea being: that if you over-stimulate and really stimulate children before puberty, they become hyper-sexualised and they will be so promiscuous they'll never bond with one person, that's the whole intent behind it. And, that's been pretty well done actually, it's all there. That's why the media has been used, you know the Hollywood systems, the television 'entertainment' industry and so on, has been put out there, to promote it all. However, it's much deeper than that too; because, I'm going to read some more of this article, from that article I read yesterday, from the Daily Mail. This author goes on to talk about, it says:

*Incredibly, parental consent is not often required for this intrusion into children's lives. Youngsters from the age of 12 are deemed mature enough to agree to being CAF-ed whatever their parents' objections. But campaigners stress that families should teach their children to say No: submitting to CAF is, currently at least, voluntary.*

But it's going to mandatory you see.

*The Government claims that the database will identify children at risk of poverty, abuse or future criminality.*

I went into that too; they want to predict who's going to be a criminal.

*But since when did filling in endless forms release funds for frontline services, rather than divert them? By bizarre coincidence or not this assault on treasured British notions*

The reason I'm reading this too, from Canada, through the US, to the world; because, you see, this is a world-wide system, we are global; and, this is to be used across the entire planet, to make sure that everyone is brought up politically-correct. You are adjusted and re-adjusted until you are politically-correct, all through your childhood and through your adulthood. This particular CAF System in Britain was launched, it says here:

*by Margaret Hodge, Tony Blair's shocking choice as Britain's first Children's Minister.*

Why was she the 'shocking choice'?

*Her main 'qualification' was being his pal and running Islington Council when*

She was in charge of Islington Council.

*when its 12 children's homes were awash with paedophiles and sympathisers of the 'Left-wing' Paedophile Information Exchange.*

Look into what the Paedophile Information Exchange is. It says:

*This campaigned for sex to be legalised with children from the age of four.*

Four years old. It says:

*One can only wonder how many Pervy Petes within childcare today will relish being actively invited to ask children about their sexual behaviour (CAF seemingly views this as normal),*

Well, they would, wouldn't they? These kind of people are predators you see.

*the 'sleeping arrangements' at home and how they feel about 'changes to their body'.*

This is what they ask the children, these are the kinds of people who are asking the children. This journalist goes on to say:

*I have been exposing child-abuse scandals for nearly 20 years and believe that this new Stalinist bureaucracy will not save a single child.*

It's not intended to.

*Many of the paedophiles I exposed in Hodge's homes*

This is the group homes for children.

*'groomed' children for eventual abuse through precisely such questions.*

This person knows her stuff here, this journalist who did the stories on the abuses happening in these particular homes, while this woman [Patricia Hodge] was in charge of the council. She says:

*Many of the paedophiles I exposed in Hodge's homes 'groomed' children for eventual abuse through precisely such questions.*

That's how they start it off, the intimacy of personal sexual details.

*Hodge claimed that constant State monitoring of children was justified by the Victoria Climbié scandal.*

That's one incident that happened in Britain, with a child.

*Yet adequate powers to protect genuinely endangered children already exist. Why, then, did the appalling mothers of Shannon Matthews and Baby P retain their children? The problem was not lack of paperwork but too many stupid, politically correct people reading it and failing to act.*

*CAF will not mean that the State now swoops on the demonic families in flea-infested homes with rottweilers and broken-backed babies. No, just as with the Government's fearless war on pensioner recycling 'louts', they will instead target and terrorise ordinary, decent families.*

Well, you see, that's the intention of this. She says:

*Why? One reason is simply to control people.*

This journalist has got some gonads here.

*One reason is simply to control people. Many of today's New Labour MPs*

That's your democratic types; the ones that claim they are,  
*are ex-Marxists*

I wouldn't say 'ex' at all.

*and radical feminists*

and that's very polite

*who still believe that the family poses the greatest potential opposition to the strong State.*

That's it right on the nose.

*the family poses the greatest potential opposition to the strong State.*

Exactly what Bertrand Russell and all the others of his ilk said a long time ago and reiterated many times throughout their lives.

*The Government's decreed desirable 'outcomes' for children are so frighteningly broad that many decent parents could find themselves labelled failures or abusers.*

*Everyone involved with children including volunteers, and police on raids is now expected to use the Government's 'Pre-Assessment Checklist', to see if they are achieving these five 'outcomes'*

Now, very vague eh, again, that's why they're put out there, they can stretch them to the limit, such as:

*being healthy, staying safe, enjoying life, making a 'positive contribution' and achieving 'economic well-being'.*

This is what it's under, all these questionnaires under these kinds of topics.

*Even parents working desperately hard to feed their children and keep them safe could be classified as failing them. The questionnaire asks if children's parents are 'over-protective', and whether work leaves them 'too tired to pay attention to your needs'. CAF practitioners are also taught specifically to ask if parents 'promote a healthy lifestyle' and oppose 'bullying and discrimination'.*

*An increasingly rigid State already rejects potentially loving foster and adoptive carers who smoke or have politically 'incorrect' views because they are Christian.*

*How long until natural parents are also found guilty of thought crime?*

*Might Damian Green have been considered guilty of encouraging discrimination, through challenging the Government on immigration?*

*The worst thing is that Every Child Matters*

Now, Every Child Matters, that's in capitals ECM, Every Child Matters, that came out of The Rights Of The Child, a very nice sounding thing that came out from where else, the United Nations, once more; and, everyone went along with it thinking well children should have rights, what's wrong with that? We're decent people, are we? Until you find that, once they've passed all these laws, the parents have no rights whatsoever. That was the intention of it. Everything is done through stealth and deception in this system. It says here:

*The worst thing is that Every Child Matters has made real protection work harder – the highly effective Child Protection Register was abolished in April and social workers are now drowning in paperwork about entirely innocent families.*

That's what its purpose is.

*A suppressed University of York study found it took them a day*

A whole day

*to enter data electronically on just one child.*

This goes on and on and on; but, those who've had dealings with the Children's Aid and so on, and those who worked in the system, know the type of people they recruit as workers. It's well known it's a paradise for certain types; but, now, they're not happy with that. They want to stretch out into the big wide world and take their pick of their victims; and, that's what it's about from their points of view. From those above them, who mandate all this, it is true, it's the death and destruction of the family unit once again; and, it's all leading to, as I say, a tyranny that's never ever been experienced on earth before. Where you have to be monitored and checked constantly all through your life, from birth to death, for the State, the all-powerful State.

What is CAF anyway? As I say, what is it? Where does it come from? You see, it's from the European Union; and, I'll talk about this, when I come back from this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, this is Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about this CAF. It sounds very innocuous really, when you read the main website, the home website, which is from the EIPA, European Institute of Administration, which is a registered organisation but it seems to be one of these sort of public-private deals. On its website, you have no clue that what they're talking about is the type of thing that's happened in that article I've just read. You wouldn't have a clue and it says:

*CAF Common Assessment Framework The CAF is a result of co-operation among the EU*

That's the Economic Union of Ministers. Remember, when we're amalgamated in the Americas, we've got the same thing going, all these same things; we have to emulate Europe, exact duplicate.

*a result of co-operation among the EU Ministers responsible for Public Administration. It is jointly developed under the aegis of the Innovative Public Services Group (IPSG), a working group of national experts*

Again: 'experts'. Bertrand Russell said "the world shall be ruled and run by experts".

*set up by the Directors-General (DG) in order to promote exchanges and cooperation where it concerned innovative ways of modernizing government and public service delivery in EU Member States.*

Sounds very gentle so far doesn't it? It says:

*A pilot version was presented in May 2000 and a first revised version was launched in 2002. A CAF Resource Centre CAF (RC) was created at the European Institute of Public Administration*

Public Administration, that's where it comes under.

*in Maastricht following the decision of DGs in charge of public service. In a strategic statement,*

They're all on about, they're all militarised now, strategy, strategic statement.

*EIPA pointed out how it wants to play its role as a European CAF Resource Centre and what its objectives are in this respect.*

It goes on and on, you can check it out for yourself.

It's wonderful how they can reinterpret this stuff once they've got it on the books, but that's what all laws are about. It's generally nothing to do with the initial reason for putting it on the books in the first place.

We're living in Disneyland, or we're in the Land of Oz. The Land of Oz, that's in the Old Testament. That's what they call where the magicians came from. Anyway, here's another article here. It's from Inhofe Press Blog and I'll put the link up, at the end of the show but I think it's from a government website. It's Inhofe and its EPW Press Blog. Very interesting this about the hot air debate that's going on right now and how we've all to get on our knees just to save the planet. We're going to be taxed into the ground, at a time that they've hit us as well with a global depression.

This is from December the 10th 2008. Posted by Marc Morano. It says:

**UN Blowback: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims.**

It says:

*Half of warming due to Sun! - Sea Levels Fail to Rise? - Warming Fears in 'Dustbin of History'*

*POZNAN, Poland - The UN global warming conference currently underway in Poland is about to face a serious challenge from over 650 dissenting scientists from around the globe who are criticizing the climate claims made by the UN IPCC*

That's the big panel that's supposed to be in charge of it all.

*and former Vice President Al Gore. Set for release this week, a newly updated U.S. Senate Minority Report features the dissenting voices of over 650 international scientists, many current and former UN IPCC scientists, who have now turned against the UN. The report has added about 250 scientists (and growing) in 2008 to the over 400 scientists who spoke out in 2007. The over 650 dissenting scientists are more than 12 times the number of UN scientists (52) who authored the media hyped IPCC 2007 Summary for Policymakers.*

*The U.S. Senate report is the latest evidence of the growing groundswell of scientific opposition rising to challenge the UN and Gore. Scientific meetings are now being dominated by a growing number of skeptical scientists. The prestigious International Geological Congress, dubbed the geologists' equivalent of the Olympic Games, was held in Norway in August 2008 and prominently featured the voices and views of scientists skeptical of man-made global warming fears.*

And the full report linking off this too, you can see the whole lot, of the statements and so on, but here's some of them below, and this is going to be read in the Senate, in the next 24 hours:

*"I am a skeptic...Global warming has become a new religion." - Nobel Prize Winner for Physics, Ivar Giaever.*

Another one:

*"Since I am no longer affiliated with any organization nor receiving any funding, I can speak quite frankly ...*

Which means, obviously: when he was getting paid and working for this group, he couldn't say anything, right.

*"Since I am no longer affiliated with any organization nor receiving any funding, I can speak quite frankly...As a scientist I remain skeptical." - Atmospheric Scientist Dr. Joanne Simpson, the first woman in the world to receive a PhD in meteorology and formerly of NASA who has authored more than 190 studies and has been called "among the most pre-eminent scientists of the last 100 years."*

Here's another one:

*Warming fears are the "worst scientific scandal in the history ... When people come to know what the truth is, they will feel deceived by science and scientists." - UN IPCC Japanese Scientist Dr. Kiminori Itoh, an award-winning PhD environmental physical chemist.*

Back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, back Cutting Through The Matrix and reading some of the dissenters, the scientists who work - and some of them have worked in the past - others do still work with the International Panel on Climate Change at the United Nations. This big 'must be' that they're supposed to control all of our lives, because we're just killing the planet, we are the problem. *Mankind is the problem*, as the Club of Rome said, that would fit the bill, this big hot air balloon. Here's another scientist here who says:

*"The IPCC has actually become a closed circuit; it doesn't listen to others. It doesn't have open minds ... I am really amazed that the Nobel Peace Prize has been given on scientifically incorrect conclusions by people who are not geologists," - Indian geologist Dr. Arun D. Ahluwalia at Punjab University and a board member of the UN-supported International Year of the Planet.*

Here's another one:



*"The models and forecasts of the UN IPCC "are incorrect because they only are based on mathematical models and presented results at scenarios that do not include, for example, solar activity." - Victor Manuel Velasco Herrera, a researcher at the Institute of Geophysics of the National Autonomous University of Mexico*

Here's another one:

*"It is a blatant lie put forth in the media that makes it seem there is only a fringe of scientists who don't buy into anthropogenic global warming." - U.S. Government Atmospheric Scientist Stanley B. Goldenberg of the Hurricane Research Division of NOAA.*

Here's another one:

*"Even doubling or tripling the amount of carbon dioxide will virtually have little impact, as water vapour and water condensed on particles as clouds dominate the worldwide scene and always will."*

See they always have. That's from Geoffrey G. Duffy, a professor in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering of the University of Auckland, NZ. Here's another one:

*"After reading [UN IPCC chairman] Pachauri's asinine comment*

That's good, asinine comment.

*comparing skeptics to Flat Earthers, it's hard to remain quiet." - Climate statistician Dr. William M. Briggs, who specializes in the statistics of forecast evaluation, serves on the American Meteorological Society's Probability and Statistics Committee and is an Associate Editor of Monthly Weather Review.*

This next one says:

*"For how many years must the planet cool before we begin to understand that the planet is not warming?*

Ha!

*For how many years must cooling go on?" - Geologist Dr. David Gee the chairman of the science committee of the 2008 International Geological Congress who has authored 130 plus peer reviewed papers, and is currently at Uppsala University in Sweden.*

Here's another one:

*"Gore prompted me to start delving into the science again and I quickly found myself solidly in the skeptic camp ... Climate models can at best be useful for explaining climate changes after the fact."*

They didn't know it before obviously. That's from:

*Meteorologist Hajo Smit of Holland, who reversed his belief in man-made warming to become a skeptic, is a former member of the Dutch UN IPCC committee.*

*"Many [scientists] are now searching for a way to back out quietly (from*

*promoting warming fears), without having their professional careers ruined.” - Atmospheric physicist James A. Peden, formerly of the Space Research and Coordination Center in Pittsburgh.*

*“Creating an ideology pegged to carbon dioxide is a dangerous nonsense ... The present alarm on climate change is an instrument of social control, a pretext for major businesses and political battle. It became an ideology, which is concerning.” - Environmental Scientist Professor Delgado Domingos of Portugal, the founder of the Numerical Weather Forecast group, has more than 150 published articles.*

Here's another one:

*“CO2 emissions make absolutely no difference one way or another...Every scientist knows this, but it doesn't pay to say so ... Global warming, as a political vehicle, keeps Europeans in the driver's seat and developing nations walking barefoot.” - Dr. Takeda Kunihiro, vice-chancellor of the Institute of Science and Technology Research at Chubu University in Japan.*

There you go, there's stacks and stacks of these particular comments to do with the farce of global warming; but, as I say, you see, you are under what really is a combination of the Fascist and Stalinist mentality. The combination has come together as they were designed to do, as they came out with Norman Dodd at the Reece Commission. That was the 1950s, by the way, they found out from the Ford, Carnegie and Rockefeller Institutes that their whole purpose was to so mould the West that it would blend seamlessly with that of the Soviet Union. You see, it's all here; and, the United Nations is the front group, this massive organisation, the United Nations, to bring it all into being. And, they will have no dissent, just like the Stalinist system was run, there'll be no dissenters, no matter how illogical their dogma is, they'd rather kill you - and I mean that - rather than give way, because everything has been banked, everything, our whole way of living for the future, has been banked on this farce of global warming and climate change. That *man is the enemy*, that's what the Club of Rome said, in the 1970s, when they dreamed up the whole idea; and, they have a huge stock market ready to go, to do with carbon trading that will take over from the old system, since we're producing nothing these days, it's all done in China. There's too much hanging on this for them to back off.

The Soviet system was incredible because anyone, a poet, a writer, a journalist, everyone who gave opinions or expressions to the public, in any format whatsoever, had to be vetted by committees to see if they were politically-correct; and, if they said anything at all in their works that could be deemed as subterfuge to the system, they could be sent to Siberia and their works were suddenly drawn off the shelves, gathered up through the schools, burned and off they went to the cold camps. That's what we're dealing with here; and, just like the previous article I read, to do with CAF, that's the mentalities that drive that kind of thing too. They are fanatics, utter fanatics, but the ones above them that fund them all, they're also a type of fanatic but they're kind of a religious fanatic. They're really into their religions, look at Rockefeller. Look at the funding he's given certain organisations like Theosophy. Look into Theosophy and some of you will fall for it, it sounds so wonderful, it carries you away, it's designed to, if you only read the exoteric, which most people do. Interesting that Blavatsky said in the 1800s that the purpose of Theosophy was eventually to blend and bring together the religions

of the East and West and mix them with spirit; science and spirit would blend together. They're after your soul. The *ghost in the machine*, they're after you. That thing that makes you who you are and makes you tick, because you are an enemy if you're an individual with a working brain, to these people. And, they have no toleration in them whatsoever; I love how they always say *you've got to tolerate everything because there's no right and wrong, you've got to tolerate everything*. Here's the key: if you don't tolerate everything they tell you, their whole kit and caboodle, you can't have any exceptions, they're down you, they have no tolerance for you, whatsoever. That is the Soviet system; that is tyranny; that is totalitarianism, that's what it is. Don't get confused: that's totalitarianism.

I always remind people to read George Orwell's 1984, read it a few times, see the movie, especially the British version, he goes through it pretty well, the whole system of *doublethink*; and, when you're upgraded with *newthink*, when they change their minds 180 degrees on some topic, you'd better adjust immediately, as though you'd always thought that. That's what they expect of us and even then they won't be happy, they want you monitored 24 hours a day, every individual on the planet; and, who's backing them? Who's working for them? The biggest organisations on the planet are backing them. The biggest financial corporations, the biggest industrial corporations are backing them; because, in this world, you don't get up to the top as a big industry or corporation unless the big boys, who already control the world system, brought you up, like Bill Gates. That's why, in the high Masonic tradition, you must become a philanthropist and put all the dough that came in, after all they made you who you are and the worker is *worthy of his wages*. It means you must give kick-backs to the master, big time. Look where the money for Bill Gates goes, his philanthropy, is on family-planning, meaning abortions and so on, across the world, because he works for his master, you see, as they all do. You would be surprised how many big, real industries and organisations are out there, that produce real things that we buy, are staffed with people from MI6 and the CIA, who funded them into existence. Look into the ones that control the Verichip for instance, look at the ties they have and then you'll start to clue in.

Now, we'll go to the phones now and we've got Dave from California on the line, are you there Dave?

Dave: Hi Alan.

Alan: How are you?

Dave: Fine, I'd like to talk about Chemtrails, you're the only one on the radio or any place else that really seems to understand the extent or the seriousness of them. I'm here in Silicon Valley and in May, approximately, after spraying for about ten years, they increased - dramatically - the amount or the content of the spray and it started to affect my breathing, my eyes, visibly. It's been constant, literally daily, since then; and, I've developed a sensitivity towards some other chemicals, like pesticides and particularly cleaning fluids they use in hotel rooms.

Alan: What happens, you become sensitised, that's standard with the medical problems, you become sensitised to them.

Dave: and I'm pretty sure it's a result of the over-exposure to the Chemtrails.

Alan: Yes, and what's amazing too, now we have the scientists at least admitting

that the global warming is a hoax and the seas aren't rising and the big Armageddon movie made by Al Gore hasn't come to light, it's not to fruition. Now we've got to get the scientists talking about this other big thing that's going on, that none of them want to talk about and that's the spraying that's going on all over the place by some incredible air force that's across the world and you know the doctors know this too. They see the patients coming in, they've seen the bronchial problems over the last ten years skyrocket, so have the pharmacists as well. No one wants to talk about this because, again, it's a must-be agenda; it definitely is, no doubt. See, you cannot spray the atmosphere with chemicals, whether it's got Barium in it, Cadmium in it, Aluminium Oxide and all the rest of it. All the solutions suggested by Teller in the '50s, when he talked about using that at the Pentagon, he talked about using it in conjunction with HAARP, to control the weather, but also to control, basically, the minds of people; it can do that with a secondary signal. They're doing all of this, but they knew then that if they did it then a good size of the population, maybe the very young, the elderly, those with allergies, those with asthma, they'd be the first to go down, because they're more likely to end up with constant irritation, it leads to infection and then pneumonia. This has been happening for ten years and they all know about it and they're keeping utterly quiet about it.

Dave: You don't hear any truth about it any place, even amongst the people on the Internet that are aware of the spraying, they don't seem to understand the seriousness of it, for some reason.

Alan: They don't, they don't because it's one of these sort of vague things, you see it there and, you see, again, it's true what Brzezinski said, unless the media tells them to think about it and worry about it, they will not do it, unfortunately that's true. You're seeing the effects of those around you, they can't fathom it, they don't have any data. The media won't talk about it, so they won't talk about it either. It's as simple as that with most people; but, they were spraying here before these last two storms I had and I even told people there's going to be a storm because you can see them making the storm and sure enough, in comes the incredible storm. A couple of years ago, I had yellow rain in the spring and I took photographs, it's on my website. It's just astonishing what's happening and there was Cadmium in it. Cadmium is highly carcinogenic.

Dave: Of course they could have just about anything they want in it at any time in it.

Alan: Yes, and I always go back as well and I'm sure the effects of it also maybe have a tranquilising effect on the people; and, we do know, that in times of emergency, they've already said that they've got calmatives (mixtures of Prozac and Valium) which are aerosolised which they can spray over entire cities, if they want to. Well, I wouldn't be surprised if they added some of this stuff to this existing metallic spray, which they're using in conjunction with the HAARP technology.

Dave: I think they have, I think it's a chemical cocktail that's beyond belief.

Alan: It's beyond belief, people are getting very sick, as you say, there are times when everyone who contacts me is, across the US, Canada and so on, under heavy spraying. They're all tired and lethargic, that is common. Other times, they're all coughing, they all have their irritants, so it depends on the type of mix they're using that day. I could run websites just on the photographs I get sent in all the

time and the ones I could take here; but I don't have the time even to do that, with all that's going on. Lots of people are suffering, with this spraying and they will not mention it. I've said before, that a member of Parliament for Sudbury Ontario went to Ottawa, on behalf of Don Scott, who has talked about it, on the radio; and the Department of Defence said they do not have to disclose any information on the spraying to a member of parliament or any member of the public. In other words, they've got carte blanche silence from some higher authority, to say nothing about it.

Dave: It's amazing. I've had the opportunity, but I've actually travelled quite a bit around the Western US and once or twice to South America in the last year and they spraying is just about as heavy everywhere.

Alan: I know, I know.

Dave: I don't know how they do it.

Alan: It's heavily organised, planned probably in the '60s. I'll back with more after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, Alan Watt back, Cutting Through The Matrix. Is Sean from Idaho there?

Sean: How are you this evening?

Alan: Not so bad.

Sean: Are you staying warm?

Alan: I'm keeping out of the snow.

Sean: Good.

Alan: I was in the snow all day!

Sean: OK, I'm sure you're by the fire now. Hey, really, just real quick, I know we haven't much time but, you know, a lot of the books you mention, they're disappearing. I made sure I got a Next Million Year copy and there's only about ten out there on the Internet that I could find. A couple of things, I wanted to call and talk to you about but I talked to my son last night, he's in 5th Grade, you know, they're getting taught right now, in public school, and we made a decision today actually to pull him out next year and go home school but they're getting taught their science and social studies through newspapers. No more books, through newspapers, that can just be instantly changed. I wanted to make just a quick comment about the IPCC: I know a number of people that have already bought into the global warming farce and it is their new religion.

Alan: Yes, it is.

Sean: And, you know the greening of everything; and, you tell them about the Club of Rome, you tell them about the First Global Revolution and they won't even take a look at it.

Alan: No, that's it you see, that's fanaticism and this is the most fundamentalist religion ever created, believe you me and backed by billions of dollars, to make it so and this is a must-be priority for those who planned the next phase of this Brave

New World that we all go along with it because this is going to be our master. This is going to be our master, this whole philosophy.

Sean: Real quick - have you seen the movie Into the Wild?

Alan: Yes.

Sean: Incredible movie, you know and the premise is just incredible about a guy wanting to escape his indoctrination, burning his money, wipe away everything; but, I saw it a couple of weeks ago and the more I thought about it, the more I see that it's a mockery. It's a mockery of those that want to get out of the system. In the very beginning, they show a picture of the Masonic Temple and then immediately following was the initiation - graduation ceremony - and then all through it, I don't if you saw where he buried his treasure, underneath the overpass. He's got all the nature to go bury his little treasure and he goes below the overpass. As above, so below and then - I wrote some notes so I didn't forget.

Alan: Their new book is called Bridge To Light, they love bridges, that's why they buried it under that.

Sean: Bridges to Light; and remember his father, he worked for NASA, Can-a-ver-al, the high priestess, as you pointed out. Then several times he says *"I'm on the top of the mountain"*, top of the pyramid, looking for the light.

Alan: That's right, the fool on the hill.

Sean: Do you know what the significance of the bear was at the end?

Alan: The bear is part of like the Great Bear, it's also called Arctouros, which is Arthur, like King Arthur with his Round Table. It goes round and round in a circle that constellation you see.

Sean: By chance, did you get my envelope in the couple of weeks?

Alan: I don't really know yet, I can't look for it now!

Sean: OK, no problem; hey, thank you very much Alan and you have a good evening.

Alan: Thanks for calling.

Well, remember folks, you can donate to me on my website or buy my books that I write and so on and keep me going; but, from a very snowy Great White North, Ontario Canada, from Hamish and myself, it's goodnight and may your god - or your gods - go with you.

-----  
Transcribed by Bill Scott.  
-----

**Links to the topics covered in tonight's show:**

**["Has your child been CAFed? How the Government plans to record intimate information on every child in Britain" by Eileen Fairweather \(dailymail.co.uk\) - Dec. 7, 2008.](#)**

**"European Institute of Public Administration / Common Assessment Framework" (eipa.eu).**

**"CAF - Common Assessment Framework" (eipa.eu).**

**COMMON ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK (eipa.nl - Netherlands).**

**"UN Blowback: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims" by Marc Morano (epw.senate.gov) - Dec. 10, 2008.**